



Line shapes and super-massive binary black holes

Luka Č. Popović Astronomical Observatory, Belgrade, Serbia



The line emitting regions in AGN

- Broad band spectra emitted from AGNs (from gamma to the radio emission)
- X-ray emission, as e.g. Fe K line
- UV/optical emission (Ly alpha, CIV, Balmer series)
- Narrow Line Emission region



A binary system

Binary black holes

- Orbits, period and distance
- Gravitational potential motion of emitting clouds
- Possible interactions
- Etc.

Gravitational potential of close binaries (Paczynski, B. 1971, ARA&A 9, 183)

$$\Phi = \frac{R}{r_1} + \frac{R \cdot q}{r_2} + \frac{1+q}{2} \left[\left(\frac{x}{R} - \frac{q}{1+q} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{R} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{q^2}{2(1+q)}$$



Mass ratio and distances between BBHs

$$\Phi = \frac{R}{r_1} + \frac{R \cdot q}{r_2} + \frac{1 + q}{2} \left[\left(\frac{x}{R} - \frac{q}{1 + q} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{R} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{q^2}{2(1 + q)}$$

- If q<<1, small black hole orbiting the larger one, can smaller BH have emitting regions
- Gravitational potential motions of clouds similar as in the case of an ordinary AGN (it depends on distances from smaller BH)
- Perturbation in the spectra is expected

$$q \sim 1$$

$$\Phi = \frac{R}{r_1} + \frac{R \cdot q}{r_2} + \frac{1+q}{2} \left[\left(\frac{x}{R} - \frac{q}{1+q} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{R} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{q^2}{2(1+q)}$$

- If q~1, masses and distances play very important role
- Distance in comparison with sizes of line emitting regions, and two parameters, period of orbiting and radial velocities of the components are important

Estimations these parameters using circular orbits (Yu & Lu 2001, A&A 377, 17)

$$P^{\text{orb}} = 210 \left(\frac{R}{0.1 \text{pc}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{2 \times 10^8 M_{\text{sun}}}{m_1 + m_2}\right)^{1/2} \text{yr}$$

$$\frac{|v_i| = 1.5 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{0.1 \text{pc}}{\text{R}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_1 + m_2}{2 \times 10^8 M_{\text{sun}}}\right)^{1/2} \left[\frac{2m_1 m_2}{m_i (m_1 + m_2)}\right] \sin \theta_{\text{orb}}$$

- Only not semi-touched systems
- Sizes of regions: Fe K ~ $10^{-5} 10^{-4}$
- BLR ~ $10^{-4} 10^{-5}$
- NLR ~ several pc to several kpc

Characteristic distances (taken as two order of magnitude larger than ELR)

	Table 1. Est	Table N Estimated P and $V_{\rm rad}^{\rm max}$ for binary black holes with the same masses of 10 ⁸ M_{\odot} for characteristic distances.						
	Distances	corresponding line	FWHM in km s ⁻¹	P in yr	V ^{max} in km s⁻¹			
/	$10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ pc	Fe K α	$\sim 10^4 - 10^5$	$\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-4} - 7 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^4 - 5 \cdot 10^3$			
	$10^{-2} - 1 \text{ pc}$	Broad UV/optica lines	$\sim 10^3 - 10^4$	$\sim 7 \cdot 10^{-3} - 7 \cdot 10^{2}$	$\sim 5\cdot 10^3 - 5\cdot 10^2$			
	$10^2 - 10^4 \text{ pc}$	Narrow lines	$\sim \cdot 10^2 - 10^3$	$\sim \cdot 10^{6} - 10^{9}$	$\sim 50 - 0.5$			





Table 1: Estimated P and $V_{\rm rad}^{\rm max}$ for binary black holes with the same masses of 10⁸ M_{\odot} for characteristic distances.

Distances	corresponding line	FWHM in km s ⁻¹	P in yr	$V_{\rm rad}^{\rm max}$ in km s ⁻¹
$10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \text{ pc}$	Fe K α	$\sim 10^4 - 10^5$	$\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-4} - 7 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^4 - 5 \cdot 10^3$
$10^{-2} - 1 \text{ pc}$	Broad UV/optica lines	$\sim 10^3 - 10^4$	$\sim 7 \cdot 10^{-3} - 7 \cdot 10^{2}$	$\sim 5 \cdot 10^3 - 5 \cdot 10^2$
$10^2 - 10^4 \text{ pc}$	Narrow lines	$\sim \cdot 10^2 - 10^3$	$\sim \cdot 10^{6} - 10^{9}$	$\sim 50 - 0.5$





Table 1: Estimated P and $V_{\rm rad}^{\rm max}$ for binary black holes with the same masses of 10⁸ M_{\odot} for characteristic distances.

Distances	corresponding line	FWHM in km s ⁻¹	P in yr	V ^{max} in km s⁻¹
$10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \text{ pc}$	Fe K α	$\sim 10^4 - 10^5$	$\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-4} - 7 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^4 - 5 \cdot 10^3$
$10^{-2} - 1 \text{ pc}$	Broad UV/optica lines	$\sim 10^3 - 10^4$	$\sim 7 \cdot 10^{-3} - 7 \cdot 10^{2}$	$\sim 5 \cdot 10^3 - 5 \cdot 10^2$
$10^2 - 10^4 \text{ pc}$	Narrow lines	$\sim \cdot 10^2 - 10^3$	$\cdot 10^6 - 10^9$	$\sim 50 - 0.5$



Expected effects

- Line shapes (variability in the line shapes)
- Shift of line
- Width of line (very broad lines?)
- Quasi-periodical oscillations (in Fe K and broad lines)



Why is the Fe K alpha important?

- Fe K alpha can be originated very close to the black hole (first rms)
- => physics of the plasma close to black hole (in a strong gravitational fields)
- => Accretion disk geometry
- => spin of the black hole
- A high number of papers
- BBHs detection using by Fe K?



Vrad=0 km/s











Some indications

 as e.g. NGC 4151 and NGC 3516, it was found that two disks with different inclinations better fit the Fe K line profile (see e.g.Wang et al., 1999; Pariev. Et al. 2001)

What can we expect?

- Complex Fe K line profiles & rapid variation (a couple of hours, see Yu & Lu)
- Example of three AGNs (pointed out by De Paolis et al. 2003, A&A 410, 741)
 Mrk 501, Mrk 421 and Mrk 766

Jovanovic & Popovic 2008, 2009



The X-ray accretion disk 4 Popović et al. 2003, A&A, 398, 975; Popović et al 2006, ApJ 637 620

Problem to use the Fe K line: Low resolution in the X-ray (Sulentic et al. 1998, ApJ)



The Broad Line Emission Region

• Gaskell 1983 Double peaked lines • E.g. 3c390.3; Very broad Radio loud AGN • Variability in line intensities and line shapes (Shapovalova, Popovic et al. 2010,

Popovic et al. 2011)



- quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) 3c390.3
 - Morlet wavelet transformation
 - analysis of the minima and maxima of H β and continuum
- QPOs with periods:
 ~ 10 years (Veilleux & Zheng 1991)
 ~ 2-4 years
- shock waves near the SMBH spreading in the outer part of the disc OR contribution of either ejection or jets to QPOs
- Shapovalova et al. 2010





Disk emission in the optical broad lines – geometry of the BLR – e.g. 3C390.3

- Eracleous & Halpern 1994, 2003, ApJ
- Shapovalova, et al. 2010, A&A
- Popovic, et al. 2011, A&A

The Binary Broad Line Region (model Popovic et al. 2000, SerAJ)

BBHs: one or two BLRs

See Boroson & Lauer 2009, Gaskell 2010

Broad line profiles

Popovic et al. 2000Shen & Loeb, 2010

 Line profiles can be very different (not only double – peaked)

Some interesting profiles



Variability due to the binary BLR



Narrow lines – double peaked (Xu & Komosa 2009)

• SDSS J131642.90+175332.5



Vrad~600 km/s
Taking the same masses, R~2.5 pc?
Very compact object

A number of papers about DPNLRs

Xu & Komossa,
2009; Wang et al.,
2009; Smith et al.,
2010; Liu et al.,
2010a,b; Chornock
et al., 2010

• There are some cases that may be BBH, • but mostly of the **DPNLRs** are caused by complex NLR geometry

Emission lines and Supermassive BBHs

- Variability (caused by interaction, unlikely by motion of the components)
- Quasi-periodical oscillations

 Very hard to detect BBHs using only emission lines

 Double-peaked narrow lines are probably from complex kinematics ... Popovic & Gaskell (N

- Aims:
- To give an critical overview about the second second

alle, broad ...row LER attendidates andidates Any suggestion is welcome

FIOI